IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUNATION SHEET

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1484 OF 2006
IN
SECOND APPEAL ST.NO.22539 OF 2006

.....

Office Notes,Office memorandum of Coram, appearances,Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

.....

Mr.A.S.Khandeparkar i/b
M/s.Khandeparkar & Associates for
the Applicant
Mr.Sudhir Prabhu for the Respondent.

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : NOVEMBER 23,2007.

P.C.:

1. None appears for the Applicant. The Advocate for the Respondent is present. My attention has been invited to the order dated 30th October 2007. The said order records that when the application was argued on 16th October 2007, this court found that the explanation in this application for delay of more 3 years was not satisfactory. On two occasions, time was granted to the Applicant to file additional affidavit for explaining the delay. Till today, additional affidavit is not filed. Lastly, time was granted on 30th October 2007.

2. Explanation for delay in this application is not satisfactory. Delay is of three years and 230 days. In fact, there is no explanation at all except for a bald assertion that papers were handed over to the Advocate at Bombay and the same were misplaced. In view of this position, application is rejected.

JUDGE